# Locks, Semaphores, and Producer-Consumer Problem

CS 571: Operating Systems (Spring 2020) Lecture 3

Yue Cheng

Some material taken/derived from:

• Wisconsin CS-537 materials created by Remzi Arpaci-Dusseau.

Licensed for use under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

# **Review: Threads**

#### Threads

- Processes vs. threads
  - Parent and child processes do not share address space
  - Inter-process communication w/ message passing or shared memory
  - Threads created by one process share address space, open files, global variables, etc.
  - Much cheaper and more flexible inter-thread communication and cooperation

### A Simple Example Using pthread

```
#include <stdio.h>
1
    #include <assert.h>
2
    #include <pthread.h>
3
4
    void *mythread(void *arg) {
5
        printf("%s\n", (char *) arg);
6
        return NULL;
7
    }
8
9
10
    int
    main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
11
        pthread_t p1, p2;
12
        int rc;
13
        printf("main: begin\n");
14
        rc = pthread_create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A"); assert(rc == 0);
15
        rc = pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B"); assert(rc == 0);
16
        // join waits for the threads to finish
17
        rc = pthread_join(p1, NULL); assert(rc == 0);
18
        rc = pthread_join(p2, NULL); assert(rc == 0);
19
        printf("main: end\n");
20
        return 0;
21
    }
22
```

#### main

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1 creates Thread 2 waits for T1

#### Thread 1 Thread2

#### main

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1 creates Thread 2 waits for T1 Thread 1 Thread2

runs prints "A" returns

#### main

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1 creates Thread 2 waits for T1

> runs prints "A" returns

Thread 1 Thread2

waits for T2

Y. Cheng

#### main

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1 creates Thread 2 waits for T1

> runs prints "A" returns

Thread 1 Thread2

waits for T2

runs prints "B" returns

#### main

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1 creates Thread 2 waits for T1

> runs prints "A" returns

Thread 1 Thread2

waits for T2

runs prints "B" returns

prints "main: end"

main

Thread 1 Thread2

starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1

#### main starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1

runs prints "A" returns

Thread 1

Thread2

main	Thread 1	Thread2
starts running		
prints "main: begin"		
creates Thread 1		
	runs	
	prints "A"	

creates Thread 2

returns

main	Thread 1	Thread2
starts running		
prints "main: begin"		
creates Thread 1		
	runs	
	prints "A"	
	returns	
creates Thread 2		

runs prints "B" returns

main	Thread 1	Thread2
starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1		
anastas Thread 2	runs prints "A" returns	
creates Inread 2		runs prints "B" returns
waits for T1 <i>returns immediately; T1 is done</i> waits for T2 <i>returns immediately; T2 is done</i> prints "main: end"		

main	Thread 1	Thread2
starts running prints "main: begin" creates Thread 1		
	runs prints "A" returns	
creates Thread 2		
		runs prints "B" returns
waits for T1		
<i>returns immediately; T1 is done</i> waits for T2		
<i>returns immediately; T2 is done</i> prints "main: end"		
What would a 3 <sup>rd</sup> thread to	race look like <sup>2</sup>	?

GMU CS571 Spring 2020

### Synchronization

- Race Conditions
- The Critical Section Problem
- Synchronization Hardware and Locks
- Semaphores

```
#include <stdio.h>
 1
    #include "common.h"
 2
 3
                                            Threaded Counting Example
 4
    static volatile int counter = 0;
 5
 6
    11
 7
    // mythread()
 8
    11
 9
    // Simply adds 1 to counter repeatedly, in a loop
    // No, this is not how you would add 10,000,000 to
10
    // a counter, but it shows the problem nicely.
11
12
    11
    void *mythread(void *arg)
13
14
     {
15
        printf("%s: begin\n", (char *) arg);
16
        int i;
17
        for (i = 0; i < 1e7; i++) {
            counter = counter + 1;
18
19
         }
20
        printf("%s: done\n", (char*) arg);
        return NULL;
21
                                              $ git clone https://github.com/tddg/demo-ostep-code
22
    }
                                              $ cd demo-ostep-code/threads-intro
23
                                              $ make
24
    11
25
    // main()
                                                ./t1 <loop count>
26
    11
27
    // Just launches two threads (pthread_create)
                                                                             Try it yourself
    // and then waits for them (pthread_join)
28
29
    11
30
    int main(int argc, char *argv[])
31
    {
32
        pthread_t p1, p2;
        printf("main: begin (counter = %d)\n", counter);
33
34
        Pthread_create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A");
        Pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B");
35
36
37
        // join waits for the threads to finish
38
        Pthread_join(p1, NULL);
39
        Pthread_join(p2, NULL);
        printf("main: done with both (counter = %d)\n", counter);
40
        recheng 0;
41
                                                                                                         17
                                               GMU CS571 Spring 2020
```

42

#### **Back-to-Back Runs**

Run 1... main: begin (counter = 0) A: begin B: begin A: done B: done main: done with both (counter = 10706438) Run 2... main: begin (counter = 0) A: begin B: begin A: done B: done main: done with both (counter = 11852529)

#### What exactly Happened??

#### What exactly Happened??

- % otool -t -v thread\_rc
- % objdump -d thread\_rc







#### **Concurrent Access to the Same Memory Address** Thread 1 Thread 2 OS Value Enter into critical section 50 0x2f8e, %eax movl \$0x1, %eax 51 addl Interrupt Save T1's state Time Restore T2's state

	OS		Thread 1	T	nread 2	Value
Time	<b>Interrupt</b> Save T1's state Restore T2's state	<b>Enter</b> movl addl	into critical section 0x2f8e, %eax \$0x1, %eax	movl addl movl	0x2f8e, %eax \$0x1, %eax %eax, 0x2f8e	50 51

	OS	Thre	ead 1	Tł	nread 2	Value
		Enter into cr movi 0x2f8	I ▼ ritical section Be, %eax			50 51
Time	<b>Interrupt</b> Save T1's state Restore T2's state		, /000			51
				movl addl movl	0x2f8e, %eax \$0x1, %eax %eax, 0x2f8e	50 51 51

	OS	Thread 1	Thread 2	Value
Time	<b>Interrupt</b> Save T1's state	Enter into critical section movi 0x2f8e, %eax addl \$0x1, %eax		50 51
	Restore T2's state		movl 0x2f8e, %eax addl \$0x1, %eax movl %eax, 0x2f8e	50 51 51
	Save T2's state			

Restore T1's state

	OS		Thread 1	Tł	nread 2	Value
		Enter	into critical section		i i	
		movl	0x2f8e, %eax		1	50
		addl	\$0x1, %eax			51
	Interrupt		l.		1	
Time	Save T1's state					
TITIC	Restore T2's state				I ▼	
				movl	0x2f8e, %eax	50
				addl	\$0x1, %eax	51
				movl	%eax, 0x2f8e	51
	Interrunt		I			01
	Save T2's state					
	Restore T1's state		• •			
		mov	vl %eax, 0x2f8e			

V. Cheng

GMU CS571 Spring 2020

	OS	T	hread 1	Tł	nread 2	Value
		<b>Enter in</b> movl (	to critical section			50
Time	<b>Interrupt</b> Save T1's state Restore T2's state	addl \$	50x1, %eax	movl	0x2f8e. %eax	51 50
				addl movl	\$0x1, %eax %eax, 0x2f8e	51 51
	Interrupt Save T2's state Restore T1's state		•			
		movl	%eax, 0x2f8e			51
	. Cheng		GMU CS5/T Spring 2020			27

	OS	T	hread 1	Tł	nread 2	Value
		Enter in movi ( addi \$	<b>to critical section</b> Dx2f8e, %eax SOx1, %eax			50 51
Time	Interrupt Save T1's state Restore T2's state					
				movl addl movl	0x2f8e, %eax \$0x1, %eax %eax, 0x2f8e	50 51 51
	<b>Interrupt</b> Save T2's state Restore T1's state	movl	I I ▼ %eax. 0x2f8e			51
7	. Cheng	movi	GMU CS571 Spring 2020			28

### Takeaway

- Observe: In a time-shared system, the exact instruction execution order cannot be predicted
  - Deterministic vs. Non-deterministic
- Any possible orders could happen, which result in different output across runs

### **Race Conditions**

- Situations like this, where multiple processes are writing or reading some shared data and the final result depends on who runs precisely when, are called race conditions
  - A serious problem for any concurrent system using shared variables
- Programmers must make sure that some highlevel code sections are executed atomically
  - Atomic operation: It completes in its entirety without worrying about interruption by any other potentially conflict-causing process

### **The Critical-Section Problem**

- N processes/threads all competing to access the shared data
- Each process/thread has a code segment, called critical section (critical region), in which the shared data is accessed
- Problem ensure that when one process is executing in its critical section, no other process is allowed to execute in that critical section
- The execution of the critical sections by the processes must be mutually exclusive in time

#### **Mutual Exclusion**



## **Solving Critical-Section Problem**

Any solution to the problem must satisfy **four conditions**!

Mutual Exclusion:

No two processes may be simultaneously inside the same critical section

**Bounded Waiting:** 

No process should have to wait forever to enter a critical section

Progress:

No process executing a code segment unrelated to a given critical section can block another process trying to enter the same critical section

#### Arbitrary Speed:

No assumption can be made about the relative speed of different processes (though all processes have a non-zero speed)

# Using Lock to Protect Shared Data



• Suppose that two threads A and B have access to a shared variable "balance"

Thread A:

balance = balance + 1

Thread B:

balance = balance + 1

1 lock\_t mutex; // some globally-allocated lock 'mutex'

```
. . .
```

2

```
3 lock(&mutex);
```

```
4 balance = balance + 1;
```

5 unlock(&mutex);

#### Locks



- A lock is a variable
- Two states
  - Available or free
  - Locked or held
- lock(): tries to acquire the lock
- unlock(): releases the lock that has been acquired by caller

### **Building a Lock**

- Needs help from hardware + OS
- A number of hardware primitives to support a lock
- Goals of a lock
  - Basic task: Mutual exclusion
  - Fairness
  - Performance
• How about just using loads/stores instructions?

```
typedef struct __lock_t { int flag; } lock_t;
1
2
3
   void init(lock_t *mutex) {
        // 0 -> lock is available, 1 -> held
4
        mutex -> flag = 0;
5
6
   }
7
8
    void lock(lock_t *mutex) {
        while (mutex->flag == 1) // TEST the flag
9
            ; // spin-wait (do nothing)
10
        mutex->flag = 1; // now SET it!
11
12
   }
13
    void unlock(lock_t *mutex) {
14
        mutex -> flag = 0;
15
16
   }
```

• How about just using loads/stores instructions?

```
typedef struct __lock_t { int flag; } lock_t;
1
2
3
    void init(lock_t *mutex) {
        // 0 -> lock is available, 1 -> held
4
        mutex -> flag = 0;
5
    }
6
7
    void lock(lock_t *mutex) {
8
        while (mutex->flag == 1) // TEST the flag
9
                                                    → A spin lock
                  spin-wait (do nothing)-
10
        mutex - flag = 1;
                                  // now SET it!
11
12
    }
13
    void unlock(lock_t *mutex) {
14
        mutex -> flag = 0;
15
16
    }
```

• How about just using loads/stores instructions?

```
typedef struct __lock_t { int flag; } lock_t;
1
2
3
    void init(lock_t *mutex) {
        // 0 -> lock is available, 1 -> held
4
        mutex -> flag = 0;
5
    }
6
7
    void lock(lock_t *mutex) {
8
        while (mutex->flag == 1) // TEST the flag
9
                                                     \rightarrow A spin lock
                  spin-wait (do nothing)
10
        mutex - flag = 1;
                                     // now SET it!
11
    }
12
13
    void unlock(lock_t *mutex) {
14
        mutex -> flag = 0;
15
                                    What's the problem?
    }
16
```

#### Flag is 0 initially

Thread 1Thread 2call lock()while (flag == 1)interrupt: switch to Thread 2

#### Flag is 0 initially

Thread 1	Thread 2
call lock()	
while (flag $== 1$ )	
interrupt: switch to Thread 2	Checking that Flag is 0, again
	call lock()
	while (flag $== 1$ )

#### Flag is set to 1 by T2

Thread 1	Thread 2
call lock()	
while (flag $== 1$ )	
interrupt: switch to Thread 2	
	call lock()
	while (flag $== 1$ )
	flag = 1;

interrupt: switch to Thread 1

Flag is set to 1 again! Two threads both in Critical Section

Thread 1	Thread 2
call lock()	
while (flag $== 1$ )	
interrupt: switch to Thread 2	
	call lock()
	while (flag $== 1$ )
	flag = 1;
	interrupt: switch to Thread 1
flag = 1; $//$ set flag to 1 (too!)	

Flag is set to 1 again! Two threads both in Critical Section

Thread 1	Thread 2
call lock()	
while (flag $== 1$ )	
interrupt: switch to Thread 2	
	call lock()
	while (flag $== 1$ )
	flag = 1;
	interrupt: switch to Thread 1
flag = 1: // set flag to 1 (too!)	

#### Reason: Lock operation is not atomic! And therefore, no mutual exclusion!

#### **Getting Help from the Hardware**

One solution supported by hardware may be to use interrupt capability

```
do
                                    void lock() {
                                1
   lock()
                                        DisableInterrupts();
                                2
      critical section;
                                3
                                    }
   unlock()
                                    void unlock() {
                                4
      remainder section;
                                5
                                        EnableInterrupts();
  while (1);
                                    }
                                6
```

#### **Getting Help from the Hardware**

One solution supported by hardware may be to use interrupt capability

```
do {
    lock()
    critical section;
    unlock()
    remainder section;
} while (1);
```

```
void lock() {
    DisableInterrupts();
    J
    void unlock() {
        EnableInterrupts();
     }
```

#### Are we done??

#### **Synchronization Hardware**

- Many machines provide special hardware instructions to help achieve mutual exclusion
- The **TestAndSet** (**TAS**) instruction tests and modifies the content of a memory word atomically
- TAS returns old value pointed to by old\_ptr and updates said value to new

```
int TestAndSet(int *old_ptr, int new) {
    int old = *old_ptr; // fetch old value at old_ptr
    *old_ptr = new; // store 'new' into old_ptr
    return old; // return the old value
}
Operations
performed
atomically!
```

1

#### Mutual Exclusion with TAS

Initially, lock's flag set to 0

```
typedef struct __lock_t {
1
        int flag;
2
    } lock_t;
3
4
5
    void init(lock t *lock) {
        // 0 indicates that lock is available, 1 that it is held
6
        lock -> flag = 0;
7
    }
8
9
    void lock(lock_t *lock) {
10
        while (TestAndSet(&lock->flag, 1) == 1)
11
            ; //
                  spin-wait (do nothing)
                                            → A correct spin lock
12
13
    }
14
    void unlock(lock_t *lock) {
15
        lock -> flag = 0;
16
    }
17
```

### **Busy Waiting and Spin Locks**

- This approach is based on busy waiting
  - If the critical section is being used, waiting processes loop continuously at the entry point
- A binary "lock" variable that uses busy waiting is called a spin lock
  - Processes that find the lock unavailable "spin" at the entry
- It actually works (mutual exclusion)

### **Busy Waiting and Spin Locks**

- This approach is based on busy waiting
  - If the critical section is being used, waiting processes loop continuously at the entry point
- A binary "lock" variable that uses busy waiting is called a spin lock
  - Processes that find the lock unavailable "spin" at the entry
- It actually works (mutual exclusion)
- Disadvantages?
  - Fairness?
  - Performance?

### **Busy Waiting and Spin Locks**

- This approach is based on busy waiting
  - If the critical section is being used, waiting processes loop continuously at the entry point
- A binary "lock" variable that uses busy waiting is called a spin lock
  - Processes that find the lock unavailable "spin" at the entry
- It actually works (mutual exclusion)
- Disadvantages?
  - Fairness? (A: No. Heavy contention may cause starvation)
  - Performance? (A: Busy waiting wastes CPU cycles)

### A Simple Approach: Just Yield (Win)!

• When you are going to spin, just give up the CPU to another process/thread

```
void init() {
1
         flaq = 0;
2
3
     }
4
    void lock() {
5
         while (TestAndSet(&flag, 1) == 1)
6
              yield(); // give up the CPU
7
8
     }
9
    void unlock() {
10
         flaq = 0;
11
12
     }
```

### Lock Worksheet

#### Semaphores

- Introduced by E. W. Dijkstra
- Motivation: Avoid busy waiting by blocking a process execution until some condition is satisfied
- Two operations are defined on a semaphore variable s:

sem\_wait(s) (also called P(s) or down(s))
sem\_post(s) (also called V(s) or up(s))

### **Semaphore Operations**

- Conceptually, a semaphore has an integer value. This value is greater than or equal to 0
- sem\_wait(s):
   s.value-- ; /\* Executed atomically \*/
   /\* wait/block if s.value < 0 (or negative) \*/</pre>
- A process/thread executing the wait operation on a semaphore with value < 0 being blocked until the semaphore's value becomes greater than 0
  - No busy waiting
- sem\_post(s):
  - s.value++; /\* Executed atomically \*/
  - /\* if one or more process/thread waiting, wake one \*/

#### Semaphore Operations (cont.)

- If multiple processes/threads are blocked on the same semaphore 's', only one of them will be awakened when another process performs post(s) operation
- Who will have higher priority?

#### Semaphore Operations (cont.)

- If multiple processes/threads are blocked on the same semaphore 's', only one of them will be awakened when another process performs post(s) operation
- Who will have higher priority?
  - A: FIFO, or whatever queuing strategy





Value of Semaphore	Thread 0	Thread 1
1		
1	<pre>call sem_wait()</pre>	
0	<pre>sem_wait() returns</pre>	

Value of Semaphore	Thread 0	Thread 1
1		
1	call sem_wait()	
0	<pre>sem_wait() returns</pre>	
0	(crit sect)	
0	call sem_post()	

Value of Semaphore	Thread 0	Thread 1
1		
1	call sem_wait()	
0	<pre>sem_wait() returns</pre>	
0	(crit sect)	
0	call sem_post()	
1	sem_post() returns	

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	call sem_wait()	Running
-1		Ready	decrement sem	Running
-1		Ready	$(sem < 0) \rightarrow sleep$	Sleeping

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	call sem_wait()	Running
-1		Ready	decrement sem	Running
-1		Ready	$(sem < 0) \rightarrow sleep$	Sleeping
-1		Running	$Switch \rightarrow T0$	Sleeping

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	call sem_wait()	Running
-1		Ready	decrement sem	Running
-1		Ready	$(sem < 0) \rightarrow sleep$	Sleeping
-1		Running	$Switch \rightarrow T0$	Sleeping
-1	(crit sect: end)	Running		Sleeping
-1	call sem_post()	Running		Sleeping
0	increment sem	Running		Sleeping
0	wake(T1)	Running		Ready
0	sem_post() returns	Running		Ready

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	call sem_wait()	Running
-1		Ready	decrement sem	Running
-1		Ready	$(sem < 0) \rightarrow sleep$	Sleeping
-1		Running	$Switch \rightarrow T0$	Sleeping
-1	(crit sect: end)	Running		Sleeping
-1	call sem_post()	Running		Sleeping
0	increment sem	Running		Sleeping
0	wake(T1)	Running		Ready
0	sem_post() returns	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running

Value	Thread 0	State	Thread 1	State
1		Running		Ready
1	call sem_wait()	Running		Ready
0	sem_wait() returns	Running		Ready
0	(crit sect: begin)	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	call sem_wait()	Running
-1		Ready	decrement sem	Running
-1		Ready	$(sem < 0) \rightarrow sleep$	Sleeping
-1		Running	$Switch \rightarrow T0$	Sleeping
-1	(crit sect: end)	Running		Sleeping
-1	call sem_post()	Running		Sleeping
0	increment sem	Running		Sleeping
0	wake(T1)	Running		Ready
0	sem_post() returns	Running		Ready
0	Interrupt; Switch $\rightarrow$ T1	Ready		Running
0		Ready	sem_wait() returns	Running
0		Ready	(crit sect)	Running
0		Ready	call sem_post()	Running
1		Ready	sem_post() returns	Running

#### **Classical Problems of Synchronization**

- Producer-Consumer Problem
  - Semaphore version
  - Condition Variable
    - A CV-based version
- Readers-Writers Problem
- Dining-Philosophers Problem

Today

#### **Producer-Consumer Problem**

- The bounded-buffer producer-consumer problem assumes that there is a buffer of size N
- The producer process puts items to the buffer area
- The consumer process consumes items from the buffer
- The producer and the consumer execute concurrently


- A pipe may have many writers and readers
- Internally, there is a finite-sized buffer
- Writers add data to the buffer
- Readers remove data from the buffer



























### Note: reader must wait







### Note: writer must wait

- Implementation
  - Reads/writes to buffer require locking
  - When buffers are full, writers (producers) must wait
  - When buffers are empty, readers (consumers) must wait

## **Linux Pipe Commands**



## Producer-Consumer Model: Parameters

• Shared data: sem\_t full, empty;

• Initially:

full = 0 /\* The number of full buffers \*/
empty = MAX /\* The number of empty buffers \*/

```
sem_t empty;
1
                                                                   int buffer[MAX];
                                                               1
    sem t full;
2
                                                                   int fill = 0;
                                                               2
3
                                                                   int use = 0;
                                                               3
    void *producer(void *arg) {
4
                                                               4
         int i;
5
                                                                   void put(int value) {
                                                               5
         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
                                                                       buffer[fill] = value;
6
                                                               6
             sem_wait(&empty);
                                             // line P1
                                                                       fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
7
                                                               7
             put(i);
                                             // line P2
                                                               8
                                                                   }
8
                                                               9
             sem_post(&full);
                                             // line P3
9
                                                                   int get() {
                                                               10
10
                                                                       int tmp = buffer[use];
                                                               11
    }
11
                                                                       use = (use + 1)  % MAX;
                                                               12
12
                                                                       return tmp;
                                                               13
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
13
                                                               14
         int i, tmp = 0;
14
                                                                     Put and Get routines
         while (tmp != -1) {
15
             sem_wait(&full);
                                             // line C1
16
             tmp = get();
                                             // line C2
17
             sem_post(&empty);
                                             // line C3
18
             printf("%d\n", tmp);
19
20
    }
21
22
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
23
         // ...
24
         sem_init(&empty, 0, MAX); // MAX buffers are empty to begin with...
25
         sem_init(&full, 0, 0); // ... and 0 are full
26
         // ...
27
28
```

```
sem_t empty;
1
                                                                   int buffer[MAX];
                                                               1
    sem t full;
2
                                                                   int fill = 0;
                                                               2
3
                                                                   int use = 0;
                                                               3
    void *producer(void *arg) {
4
                                                               4
         int i;
5
                                                                   void put(int value) {
                                                               5
         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
                                                                       buffer[fill] = value;
6
                                                               6
             sem_wait(&empty);
                                             // line P1
                                                                       fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
7
                                                               7
             put(i);
                                             // line P2
                                                               8
                                                                   }
8
                                                               9
             sem_post(&full);
                                             // line P3
9
                                                                   int get() {
                                                               10
10
                                                                       int tmp = buffer[use];
                                                               11
    }
11
                                                                       use = (use + 1)  % MAX;
                                                               12
12
                                                                       return tmp;
                                                               13
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
13
                                                               14
         int i, tmp = 0;
14
                                                                     Put and Get routines
         while (tmp != -1) {
15
             sem_wait(&full);
                                            // line C1
16
             tmp = get();
                                             // line C2
17
             sem_post(&empty);
                                             // line C3
18
             printf("%d\n", tmp);
19
20
    }
21
22
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
23
         // ...
24
         sem_init(&empty, 0, MAX); // MAX buffers are empty to begin with...
25
         sem_init(&full, 0, 0); // ... and 0 are full
26
         // ...
27
28
```

fill = 0 empty = 10

### Producer 0: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

fill = 0 empty = 9

### Producer 0: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}
void put(int value) {
    buffer[fill] = value;
    fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
}</pre>
```

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

fill = 0 empty = 9

### Producer 0: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}
void put(int value) {
    buffer[fill] = value;
    Interrupted ...
    fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
}</pre>
```

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

fill = 0 empty = 9

### Producer 0: Sleeping

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}
void put(int value) {
    buffer[fill] = value;
    Interrupted ...
    fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
}</pre>
```

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

fill = 0 empty = 9

### Producer 0: Runnable

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

#### Producer 1: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

```
void put(int value) {
    buffer[fill] = value;
    Interrupted ...
    fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
}
```

fill = 0 Overwrite!

empty = 8

### Producer 0: Runnable

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
}
void put(int value) {
      buffer[fill] = value;
        Interrupted ...
      fill = (fill + 1)  % MAX;
}
```

### Producer 1: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
    }
}</pre>
```

```
void put(int value) {
    buffer[fill] = value;
    fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
}
```

## **One More Parameter: A** mutex **lock**

• Shared data: sem\_t full, empty;

• Initially:

full = 0; /\* The number of full buffers \*/
empty = MAX; /\* The number of empty buffers \*/
mutex = 1; /\* Semaphore controlling the access
to the buffer pool \*/

```
sem_t empty;
1
    sem_t full;
2
    sem_t mutex;
3
4
    void *producer(void *arg) {
5
        int i;
6
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
7
            sem_wait(&mutex);
                                       // line p0 (NEW LINE)
8
            sem_wait(&empty);
                                     // line pl
9
                                       // line p2
            put(i);
10
            sem_post(&full);
                                       // line p3
11
            sem_post(&mutex);
                                       // line p4 (NEW LINE)
12
        }
13
    }
14
15
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
16
        int i;
17
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++)  {
18
            sem wait(&mutex);
                                     // line c0 (NEW LINE)
19
            sem_wait(&full);
                                     // line c1
20
            int tmp = qet();
                                     // line c2
21
            sem_post(&empty);
                                     // line c3
22
            sem post(&mutex);
                                       // line c4 (NEW LINE)
23
            printf("%d\n", tmp);
24
25
        }
    }
26
27
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
28
        11 ...
29
        sem_init(&empty, 0, MAX); // MAX buffers are empty to begin with...
30
        sem_init(&full, 0, 0); // ... and 0 are full
31
        sem init(&mutex, 0, 1); // mutex=1 because it is a lock (NEW LINE)
32
        // ...
33
    }
34
```

```
sem_t empty;
1
    sem_t full;
2
    sem_t mutex;
3
4
    void *producer(void *arg) {
5
        int i;
6
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
7
            sem_wait(&mutex);
                                        // line p0 (NEW LINE)
8
            sem_wait(&empty);
                                      // line pl
9
                                        // line p2
            put(i);
10
            sem_post(&full);
                                        // line p3
11
            sem_post(&mutex);
                                        // line p4 (NEW LINE)
12
        }
13
    }
14
15
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
16
        int i;
17
        for (i = 0; i < loops; i++)  {
18
            sem_wait(&mutex);
                                       // line c0 (NEW LINE)
19
                                                                     What if consumer
            sem_wait(&full);
                                        // line cl
20
            int tmp = get();
                                        // line c2
21
                                                                     gets to run first??
            sem_post(&empty);
                                        // line c3
22
            sem post(&mutex);
                                         // line c4 (NEW LINE)
23
            printf("%d\n", tmp);
24
25
        }
26
    }
27
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
28
        // ...
29
        sem_init(&empty, 0, MAX); // MAX buffers are empty to begin with...
30
        sem_init(&full, 0, 0); // ... and 0 are full
31
        sem init(&mutex, 0, 1); // mutex=1 because it is a lock (NEW LINE)
32
        // ...
33
                                                                                         103
    }
34
```

mutex = 1full = 0empty = 10Producer 0: Runnable Consumer 0: Running void \*consumer(void \*arg) { void \*producer(void \*arg) { int i; int i; for (i = 0; i < loops; i++){ for (i = 0; i < loops; i++){ sem\_wait(&mutex); sem\_wait(&mutex); sem\_wait(&full); sem\_wait(&empty); int tmp = qet(); put(i); sem\_post(&empty); sem\_post(&full); sem\_post(&mutex); sem\_post(&mutex); printf("%d\n", tmp); } } }

}

mutex = 0

full = 0

empty = 10

}

### Producer 0: Runnable

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
        sem_post(&mutex);
    }
}
```

### Consumer 0: Running

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&full);
        int tmp = qet();
        sem_post(&empty);
        sem_post(&mutex);
        printf("%d\n", tmp);
    }
```

Consumer 0 is waiting for full to be greater than or equal to 0

```
mutex = -1
full = -1
empty = 10
```

### Producer 0: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
        sem_post(&mutex);
    }
}
```

### Consumer 0: Runnable

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&full);
        int tmp = get();
        sem_post(&empty);
        sem_post(&mutex);
        printf("%d\n", tmp);
    }
```

Consumer 0 is **waiting** for full to be greater than or equal to 0

}

```
Deadlock!!
```

mutex = -1full = -1empty = 10

}

### Producer 0: Running

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&empty);
        put(i);
        sem_post(&full);
        sem_post(&mutex);
    }
}
```

### Consumer 0: Runnable

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        sem_wait(&mutex);
        sem_wait(&full);
        int tmp = qet();
        sem_post(&empty);
        sem_post(&mutex);
        printf("%d\n", tmp);
    }
```

Producer 0 gets stuck at acquiring mutex which has been locked by Consumer 0!

Consumer 0 is **waiting** for full to be greater than or equal to 0

# Deadlocks

 A set of threads are said to be in a *deadlock* state when every thread in the set is waiting for an event that can be caused only by another thread in the set



# **Conditions for Deadlock**

### Mutual exclusion

- Threads claim exclusive control of resources that require e.g., a thread grabs a lock
- Hold-and-wait
  - Threads hold resources allocated to them while waiting for additional resources
- No preemption
  - Resources cannot be forcibly removed from threads that are holding them

### Circular wait

 There exists a circular chain of threads such that each holds one or more resources that are being requests by next thread in chain
## **Correct Mutual Exclusion**

```
sem_t empty;
1
    sem t full;
2
    sem_t mutex;
3
4
    void *producer(void *arg) {
5
         int i;
6
         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
7
             sem_wait(&empty); // line p1
8
                                                                                  ..) Mutex wraps
just around
critical section!
             sem_wait(&mutex); // line p1.5 (MOVED MUTEX HERE...)
9
                                         // line p2
             put(i);
10
             sem_post(&mutex); // line p2.5 (... AND HERE)
11
             sem_post(&full); // line p3
12
         }
13
    }
14
15
    void *consumer(void *arg) {
16
         int i;
17
         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) \{
18
             sem_wait(&full); // line c1
sem_wait(&mutex); // line c1.5 (MOVED MUTEX HERE...)
int tmp = get(); // line c2
sem_post(&mutex); // line c2.5 (... AND HERE) // line c2.5 (... AND HERE)
19
20
21
22
                                           // line c3
             sem_post(&empty);
23
             printf("%d\n", tmp);
24
         }
25
    }
26
27
    int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
28
         // ...
29
         sem_init(&empty, 0, MAX); // MAX buffers are empty to begin with...
30
      sem_init(&full, 0, 0); // ... and 0 are full
31
      sem init(&mutex, 0, 1); // mutex=1 because it is a lock
32
        // ...
33
                                                                                                  110
34
    }
```

## **Producer-Consumer Solution**

## Make sure that

- 1. The producer and the consumer do not access the buffer area and related variables at the same time
- 2.No item is made available to the consumer if all the buffer slots are empty
- 3.No slot in the buffer is made available to the producer if all the buffer slots are full

## **Semaphore Worksheet**