Virtualization

CS 4740: Cloud Computing Fall 2024 Lecture 13

Yue Cheng

UNIVERSITY

Some material taken/derived from:

• IIT Bombay CS 695 by Mythili Vutukuru

@ 2024 released for use under a [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.

Overall objectives

- 1. Isolation
- 2. Performance

- Process-level virtualization
- OS-level virtualization
- Whole-machine virtualization

• Process-level virtualization

Application

Operating system

Hardware

Virtual memory CPU scheduling File system management

• Process-level virtualization

• OS-level virtualization

Sharing the OS, but uses OS features (cgroups, namespaces) for isolation

• Whole-machine virtualization

Virtual machines (VMs)

Virtual machine monitor (VMM)

Type 1 hypervisor

Type 2 hypervisor

Virtual machine monitor (VMM)

- Multiple VMs running on a physical machine (PM) multiplexing the underlying machine
	- Similar to how OS multiplexes processes on CPU

- VMM performs VM switch (much like process context switch)
	- Runs a VM a bit, save context and switch to another VM, and so on…
- What's the **problem**?
	- Guest OS expects to have unrestricted access to hardware, runs privileged instructions, unlike user processes

Trap and emulate VMM

- All CPUs have multiple privilege levels
	- Ring 0,1,2,3 in x86 CPUs
- Normally, user process runs in Ring 3, OS in Ring 0
	- Privileged instructions only run in Ring 0
- With VMM, user process in Ring 3, VMM/host OS in Ring 0
	- Guest OS must be protected from guest apps
	- But not fully privileged like host OS/VMM
	- Let guest OS run in Ring 1?
- Trap-and-emulate VMM: Guest OS runs at lower privilege level than VMM, traps to VMM for privileged operation

Trap and emulate VMM (cont.)

- Guest app needs to trigger syscall/interrupt
	- Special trap instr (int n), traps to VMM
	- VMM doesn't know how to handle trap
	- VMM jumps to guest OS trap handler
	- Trap handled by guest OS normally

Trap and emulate VMM (cont.)

- Guest app needs to handle syscall/interrupt
	- Special trap instr (int n), traps to VMM
	- VMM doesn't know how to handle trap
	- VMM jumps to guest OS trap handler
	- Trap handled by guest OS normally
- Guest OS performs return from trap
	- Privileged instr, traps to VMM
	- VMM jumps to corresponding user process

Trap and emulate VMM (cont.)

- Guest app needs to handle syscall/interrupt
	- Special trap instr (int n), traps to VMM
	- VMM doesn't know how to handle trap
	- VMM jumps to guest OS trap handler
	- Trap handled by guest OS normally
- Guest OS performs return from trap
	- Privileged instr, traps to VMM
	- VMM jumps to corresponding user process
- Any privileged action by guest OS traps to VMM, emulated by VMM
	- Example: set IDT (1idt), set CR3, acces's hardware,`modify hardware state
	- Sensitive data structures like IDT must be managed by VMM, not guest OS

Problems with trap and emulate

- Guest OS may realize it is running at lower privileged level
	- Some registers in x86 reflect CPU privilege level (code segment/CS register)
		- E.g., in x86, 0 indicates Ring 0, while 3 indicates Ring 3
	- Guest OS can read these values and get offended!

Problems with trap and emulate

- Guest OS may realize it is running at lower privileged level
	- Some registers in x86 reflect CPU privilege level (code segment/CS register)
	- Guest OS can read these values and get offended!
- Some x86 instructions that change hardware state (sensitive instructions) run in both privileged and unprivileged modes
	- Behaves differently when guest OS in Ring 0 vs. in less privileged Ring 1
	- OS behaves incorrectly in Ring 1, will not trap to VMM

Problems with trap and emulate

- Guest OS may realize it is running at lower privileged level
	- Some registers in x86 reflect CPU privilege level (code segment/CS register)
	- Guest OS can read these values and get offended!
- Some x86 instructions that change hardware state (sensitive instructions) run in both privileged and unprivileged modes
	- Behaves differently when guest OS in Ring 0 vs. in less privileged Ring 1
	- OS behaves incorrectly in Ring 1, will not trap to VMM
- Legacy reasons
	- OSes not originally designed to run at a lower privilege level
	- Instruction set architecture (ISA) of x86 is not easily virtualizable (x86 wasn't designed with virtualization in mind)

Example

- EFLAGS register is a set of CPU flags
	- IF (interrupt flag) indicates if interrupts on/off
- Consider the popf instruction in x86
	- Pops a value from top of stack and set EFLAGS
- If executed in Ring 0, all flags set normally
- If executed in Ring 1, only some flags set
	- IF is not set as it is privileged flag
- popf is a sensitive instruction, not privileged, does not trap, behaves differently when executed in different privilege levels
	- Guest OS is buggy in Ring 1

Popek Goldberg theorem

<https://www.scs.stanford.edu/21wi-cs140/sched/readings/virtualization.pdf>

- Sensitive instruction = changes hardware state
- Privileged instruction = runs only in privileged mode
	- Traps to Ring 0 if executed from unprivileged rings
- To build a VMM efficiently via trap-and-emulate method, sensitive instructions should be a subset of privileged instructions
	- x86 does not satisfy this criteria, so trap and emulate VMM is not possible with x86

Popek Goldberg theorem

<https://www.scs.stanford.edu/21wi-cs140/sched/readings/virtualization.pdf>

- Sensitive instruction = changes hardware state
- Privileged instruction = runs only in privileged mode
	- Traps to Ring 0 if executed from unprivileged rings
- To build a VMM efficiently via trap-and-emulate method, sensitive instructions should be a subset of privileged instructions
	- x86 does not satisfy this criteria, so trap and emulate VMM is not possible with x86

Popek Goldberg theorem

<https://www.scs.stanford.edu/21wi-cs140/sched/readings/virtualization.pdf>

- Sensitive instruction = changes hardware state
- Privileged instruction = runs only in privileged mode
	- Traps to Ring 0 if executed from unprivileged rings
- To build a VMM efficiently via trap-and-emulate method, sensitive instructions should be a subset of privileged instructions
	- x86 does not satisfy this criteria, so trap and emulate VMM is not possible with x86

Techniques to virtualize x86

- Paravirtualization: rewrite guest OS code to be virtualizable
	- Guest OS won't invoke privileged instructions, but makes "hypercall" to VMM
	- Needs OS source code changes, cannot work with unmodified OS
	- Example: Xen hypervisor

<https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/srg/netos/papers/2003-xensosp.pdf>

Techniques to virtualize x86

- Paravirtualization: rewrite guest OS code to be virtualizable
	- Guest OS won't invoke privileged instructions, but makes "hypercall" to VMM
	- Needs OS source code changes, cannot work with unmodified OS
	- Example: Xen hypervisor

<https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/srg/netos/papers/2003-xensosp.pdf>

- Full virtualization: CPU instructions of guest OS are translated to be virtualizable
	- Sensitive instructions translated to trap to VMM
	- Dynamic (on the fly) binary translation, so works with unmodified OS
	- Higher overhead than paravirtualization
	- Example: VMWare workstation

- Hardware-assisted virtualization: KVM/QEMU in Linux
	- CPU has a special VMX mode of execution
	- x86 has 4 rings on non-VMX root mode, another 4 rings in VMX mode

- Hardware-assisted virtualization: KVM/QEMU in Linux
	- CPU has a special VMX mode of execution
	- x86 has 4 rings on non-VMX root mode, another 4 rings in VMX mode

- Hardware-assisted virtualization: KVM/QEMU in Linux
	- CPU has a special VMX mode of execution
	- x86 has 4 rings on non-VMX root mode, another 4 rings in VMX mode

- Hardware-assisted virtualization: KVM/QEMU in Linux
	- CPU has a special VMX mode of execution
	- x86 has 4 rings on non-VMX root mode, another 4 rings in VMX mode
- VMM enters VMX mode to run guest OS in (special) Ring 0

- Hardware-assisted virtualization: KVM/QEMU in Linux
	- CPU has a special VMX mode of execution
	- x86 has 4 rings on non-VMX root mode, another 4 rings in VMX mode
- VMM enters VMX mode to run guest OS in (special) Ring 0
- Exit back to VMM on triggers (VMM retains control)

VM demo

Containers

Containers: Lightweight virtualization

- Containers share base OS, have different set of libraries, utilities, root filesystem, view of process tree, networking, etc.
	- VMs have different copies of OS itself
	- Containers have less overhead than VMs, but weaker isolation

VMs are separate systems with complete copies of OS, user processes, etc.

Cgroups and namespaces

- cgroup types (resource / performance isolation)
	- cpu, memory, cpuacct, cpuset, freezer, net_cls, blkio, perf_event, net_prio, hugetlb, pids, rdma
- namespace types (namespace isolation)
	- network, mount, time, user, cgroup, IPC, PID, UTS
- Both cgroups and namespaces apply to sets of processes. Configuring all this by hand is **VERY** complicated.
- "Container framework": Does cgroups and namespaces configuration automatically under the hood
- One reason Docker is popular: "docker run ..." starts a process using all these features, each with reasonable configurations.

Cgroups

- Assign resource limits on a set of processes
	- Divide processes into groups and subgroups hierarchically
	- Assign resource limits for processes in each group/subgroup
- What resources?
	- CPU, memory, I/O, CPU sets (which process can run on which CPU core), and I/O
	- Allows a user to specify what fraction of a resource can be used by each group of processes

Namespaces

- Group of processes that have an isolated/sliced view of a global resource
- Default namespace for all processes in Linux, system calls to create new namespaces and place processes in them
- What resources can be isolated / sliced?
	- mount: isolates the file system mount points seen by a group of processes
	- PID: isolates the PID number space seen by processes
	- network: isolates network resources like IP addresses, routing tables, port numbers, etc.

 \bullet …

Docker demo